The Washington Post

SUNDAY, SEPTEMBER 29, 1974

GENERAL NEWS .

Ruling Sought in Spy-Related Wiretaps

By John P. MacKenzie Washington Post Staff Writer

The Justice Department has told the Supreme Court that it is ready for a showdown on the power of Presidents to authorize foreign intelligence wiretapping without court per-

Solicitor General Robert H. Bork said that although the government supports a federal appellate court decision upholding executive wiretaps, the decision is worthy of review and a final ruling by the Supreme Court itself.

The case of convicted Soviet spy Igor A. Ivanov reaches the court at a time of fresh disclosures of and charges of gov-ernment-sponsored invasions of privacy in the name of national security. Government that the justices will agree to a quired in ordinary federal lawyers have been accused of full hearing and decision. The withholding from the courts court, which does not have to In that 8-to-0 decision the evidence of illegal conduct by federal agents.

Ivanov, who was a chauffeur for the Soviet trading agency Amtorg when arrested in 1963, 7. is petitioning the high court



IGOR A IVANOV . . . wiretapped by FBI



ROBERT H. BORK ... favors final ruling

review every case or explain court specifically reserved rultake some action soon after out a court order "where for-opening of the new term Oct. eign powers are involved" as

why it denies review, could ing on similar wiretaps within surveillance of embassies Two years ago the court or suspected foreign agents.

dence against him. He is un- branch could wiretap and bug criminal trials of evidence viction and was upheld March der a 20-year prison sentence.

Bork's decision not to oppose review of the case makes it more likely, but not certain,

tainted by illegal government conduct.

Ivanov's attorneys, Edward Bennett Williams and Robert L. Weinberg, contend that the Justice Department must tell more about the wiretapping on which Ivanov was overheard or else admit that the case was hopelessly dependent on illegal evidence.

Wiretaps led the FBI to arrest Ivanov and John W. Butenko, an American engineer with access to Air Force plans for a Strategic Air Command electronic system, at a railroad station in Englewood, N. J., where agents saw Butenko hand a briefcase to Ivanov.

Butenko recived a 30-year sentence. Three Soviet citi-zens employed at the United Nations were ordered home.

The wiretapping issues arose in 1969 during an earlier Ivanov appeal to the Supreme Court when the Justice Department disclosed the taps but argued that disclosure of the details to the defense was not required.

The justices sent the case claiming that FBI's conceded dramatically and unanimously The issues in the Ivanov hack to New Jersey's federal wiretapping without a warrant rejected a Nixon administration case are the scope of the surtainted the government's evition claim that the executive veillance power, the use in judge reinstated Ivanov's constitution and was upheld March back to New Jersey's federal

WIRETAP, From E1

A 5-to-4 majority held that a prior judicial warrant was not required and that the wiretap evidence was properly used at the trial because the surveillance was reasonably related to the exercise of broad presidential powers in the foreign affairs field.

The dissenters called the taps a violation of federal communications law, the Fourth Amendment, or both. One dis-senting judge said the court was approving an "awesome executive prerogative" that

would entitle the government to commit burglary and use its fruits to put in jail people who were not spies.

Experts have differed over whether wiretap warrants, which are authorized by the 1968 Safe Streets Act for conventional criminal cases, are feasible in the intelligence area, where the government seeks information rather than evidence. Senate hearings on proposed new warrant laws are scheduled for the fall.